

Quality Assurance report

Period from

May 14th – November 14th, 2022

November 2022





Project acronym: TeComp

Project full title: Strengthening Teaching Competences in Higher Education

in Natural and Mathematical Sciences

Project No: 598434-EPP-1-2018-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

Number of grant 2018-2467/001-001

contracts

Web address of project www.tecomp.ni.ac.rs

Funding Scheme: Erasmus+

Coordinator Institution: University of Nis

Coordinator: Prof. dr Jelena Ignjatović **Project duration:** 15.11.2018. – 14.11.2022

Work package: WP5 – Quality assurance and monitoring

Lead organization of University of Korce

WP5: Activity 5.3 Preparing and analysing quality reports

Version of the document: second **Status:** Final

Dissemination level: Institutional, Internal





Introduction

This period was highlighted by the wrap-up of the project as a whole. There were problems around the last instalment of money due to the change of rules (resulted by Russia-Ukraine conflict). This resulted in a delayed arrival of all partner documentation, but we finished it all at the end and got a positive review from external audit. We believe that the results of the project far exceed all plans and expectations, keeping in mind that many subjects were innovated and the awareness of teachers about the necessity of usage of modern technologies and pedagogical methods has been greatly increased (partly due to the situation brought by Covid). The knowledge and friendships made during the project will surely last for years to come.

Final training in Granada

The final training of the University of Granada, Spain was held on site from May 16th to May 20th, 2022. The most active teachers from Serbia and Albania had the opportunity to visit University of Granada and get acquainted with professors from Spain and their working methods. There were 17 participants in this meeting. All the activities followed the agenda below.



Strengthening Teaching Competences in Higher Education in Natural and Mathematical Sciences (TeComp) Granada, 16-20 May, 2022 Meeting with Diego Pablo Ruiz (Science Faculty) 16 May 09:30-10h 19:30-21h Welcome Physics (Science Faculty) (Diego Pablo) 10:30-12:30h Dynamic Mathematics. Seminar 1 IMAG (Maite) 19 May 09-11h 12:30-13 h Numerical methods (with laptop). Aula G06 Science Faculty Debate about Dynamic Mathematics (Maite) 13h. Lunch: tapas in the city centre 11-11:30 Coffee break 11:30-12:30h 17 May Debate about Numerical methods Teaching and Learning Math in Primary Education (Science Education Faculty, 12:30-14h Physics (Science Faculty) (Esperanza López) (Diego Pablo) 10-13h Sustainability of teaching practices at university level (Science Education Faculty, 20 May (attached file) 10-11h (José Gutiérrez y Fátima Poza) Debate about Physics (Science Education Faculty) 11-11:30h. Coffee break in the Education Faculty Meeting with Esperanza López (Math Education Seminar) 11:30-12:30h Teaching and Learning Math in Primary Education (Science Education Faculty, 14h. Lunch in the Faculty of Education C2) (Esperanza López) Meeting with Diego Pablo Ruiz (Science Faculty) 12:45h Closure Physics (Science Faculty) 13h. Lunch (waiting for confirmation the place) (Diego Pablo Ruiz)



The tittle of the training was "Effective teaching strategies" and covered topics such as characteristics of teaching, technology in the classroom, effective teaching strategies. The workshop consisted of several working sessions, combining theoretical and practical work.

The objectives of this event were to observe and analyze different teaching methods in higher education and to compare them to those used in the Albanian and Serbian universities.





CMT meeting – ECUG

The CMT meeting in Gjirokastër, Albania, was held from July 11th to July 13th, 2019. It took place at the "Eqerem Çabej" University of Gjirokastër. There was a total of 22 participants in this meeting.



The main focus of this meeting was the overview of the achieved/planned outcomes in Serbia and Albania with regards to the introduction of New/Modernized courses and the overview of the achieved results for all the work packages.






The

result of the meeting was one consortium member infected with Covid-19. Fortunately, noone else was infected.

Online CMT meeting

This CMT meeting was held online on 4th of July, 2022. All partners attended the meeting. There was a total of 12 participants.


The aim of the meeting was discussing the finalization of the project documentation, the results platform and the financial report and to agree on the final Workshop and final Conference.








Following the first meeting, another short CMT meeting took place online on 13th of July, 2022. This was a meeting between Jelena Ignjatovic, Jelena Matejic and the contact person from University of Granada, María C. Cañadas. The main objective was the division of duties and agreement on the deadline dates for the realization of the tasks, which are to be performed by the University of Granada.



Training in Niš - UGENT

The University of Ghent held a training in Nis, Serbia, from September 12th to September 14th, 2022. The training took place at the Rectorate of the University of Nis. There was a total of 23 participants from the universities of Ghent, Albania and Serbia. The activities followed the agenda below.








The title of the training was "Masters of Didactics". It covered topics such as Teaching & Learning activities, difficulties, and challenges of inclusion in higher education, assessment of/for learning, theorem prover Isabelle in the teaching of natural and mathematical educational technology, blended/ flipped classroom.









Workshop on the sustainability of the project results - UNI

As a continuation of the training given by teachers from University of Ghent, a Workshop on the sustainability of the project results was organized in Nis, Serbia, from September 14th to September 16th, 2022. This workshop is moved from the beginning of the WP3 to its end because



pf the Covid out-brake. A total number of 35 participants from all eligible EU and WB partner universities attended the workshop. The activities followed the agenda below.

During this meeting, project partners discussed on the sustainability of the TeComp project results, within the activity 3.1, and how to successfully finalize all activities and achieve all the goals. Project partners planned how to wrap-up the final report. Together prepared a draft version of the TeComp cooperation agreement, made a sustainability plan, and finalized the Strategy for improvement of the quality of teaching and learning.

Two colleagues from UNI – Tatjana Anđelković and Milan Gocić, who were the coordinators of successfully finished Erasmus+ projects, gave presentations about their experiences on the importance of well-delivering the achieved result to the EACEA, importance of providing sustainability of the project and gave some useful information about their issues in projects' finalization.









Final training in Oviedo

A final training was organized at the University of Oviedo, Spain, on from September 26th to September 30th, 2022. Professors and assistants from Serbian Universities, who actively participated in numerous previously realized trainings, got the opportunity to visit the University of Oviedo. There was a total of 25 participants.

The title of this training was "Observing innovative practices" and it gave the participants the opportunity to attend regular classes with students in order to see how modern methods, tools and applications are practically applied in teachings.

Besides sharing the experience of the implementation of new methodological and technological tools in several types of lectures and university degrees, participants held several meetings in order to agree on all the disputed elements in the completed documentation and to successfully package and complete the documentation that will be sent to Brussels with the final report.

At the end, the Rector of the University of Niš was received by the Vice-Rector and the Rector of the University of Oviedo. The conversation was aimed at strengthening and widening future inter-university cooperation.









FINAL CONFERENCE

The final conference of the TeComp project was held in the ceremonial hall of the Rectorate building of the University of Belgrade from October 9th to 11th, 2022. All partners attended the meeting. There was a total of 33 participants.

The conference presentations discussed the project history, evolution, and the results. What followed were discussions followed on guidelines and steps in further improving the quality of higher education teaching, as well as on ways that would motivate teachers at universities in Albania and Serbia to adapt the way of teaching to modern opportunities and needs, not only in the field of natural sciences, but also beyond.













UNI

Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable: Activities 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 7.2, 7.3 QAMB reviewer(s): Zorana Jančić

1.Complience with the objective of TeComp objectives of the project?	Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessmen	Comments	Recommendations
the objective of TeComp Compliance with objectives of the project? Does the deliverables comply with the WP objectives of the workpackage Does the deliverables comply with the WP objectives of the workpackage Does the deliverables comply with the WP objectives as specified in the WP description? Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form? Since the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form? Since the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format Since the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format Since the deliverable f			t		
TeComp objectives of the project? 2. Compliance with the specific comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description? 3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity specified in the Application Form? 4. Compliance with the deliverables format 5. Adequacy of complementary information 6. Adequacy of written language 6. Adequacy of written language Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	1.Complience with	Does the deliverable	x yes	/	/
2. Compliance with the specific comply with the WP Objectives of the workpackage 3. Correspondence with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description? 3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity description as specified in the Application Form? 4. Compliance with the deliverable presented using the Froject's deliverable format 5. Adequacy of complementary information 6. Adequacy of written language 6. Adequacy of written language Coverall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	the objective of	comply with the overall	□ no		
the specific objectives of the workpackage 3. Correspondence with the WP description? 3. Correspondence with description of work of the relevant activity description as specified in the Application Form? 4. Compliance with the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format 5. Adequacy of complementary information Examples of complementary information Examples of complementary information External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Description in the WP description as specified in the Application Form? Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) To be more rigorous in communication improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	TeComp	objectives of the project?	□ partially		
objectives of the workpackage 3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity description as specified in the Application Form? 4. Compliance with the deliverable presented using the project's deliverable format 5. Adequacy of complementary information 6. Adequacy of written language 6. Adequacy of written language Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	2. Compliance with	Does the deliverables	Xyes	/	/
workpackage the WP description? 3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity description as specified in the Application Form? 4. Compliance with the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format 5. Adequacy of complementary information External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	the specific	comply with the WP	□ no		
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity description as specified in the Application Form? 4. Compliance with the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format 5. Adequacy of complementary information 6. Adequacy of written language 6. Adequacy of written language Coverall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	objectives of the	Objectives as specified in	□ partially		
with the description of work of the relevant activity description as specified in the Application Form? 4. Compliance with the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format 5. Adequacy of complementary information 6. Adequacy of surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Coverall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	workpackage	the WP description?			
of work of the relevant activity activity description as specified in the Application Form? 4. Compliance with the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format 5. Adequacy of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Coverall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	3. Correspondence	Does the deliverable	⊠yes	/	/
relevant activity specified in the Application Form? 4. Compliance with the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format 5. Adequacy of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Coverall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	=	correspond with the	□ no		
Application Form? 4. Compliance with the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format 5. Adequacy of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021			□ partially		
4. Compliance with the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format 5. Adequacy of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	relevant activity	•			
the deliverables format presented using the Project's deliverable format 5. Adequacy of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021		Application Form?			
format Project's deliverable format Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	4. Compliance with	Is the deliverable	X yes	/	1
format 5. Adequacy of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	the deliverables	presented using the	□ no		
5. Adequacy of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Coverall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	format	Project's deliverable			
complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Coverall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021		format			
information External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Coverall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	5. Adequacy of	Examples of	Xyes	/	1
Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written English Coverall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	complementary	complementary info:			
List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written language Level of written English Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	information		□ no		
Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) 6. Adequacy of written English excellent / / /					
Surveys) 6. Adequacy of written English excellent / / written language To be more rigorous in communication Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14 th , 2021					
6. Adequacy of written English		== -			
written language X adequate poor Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement To be more rigorous in communication Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021		surveys)			
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement To be more rigorous in communication Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	6. Adequacy of	Level of written English	□excellent	/	/
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	written language		X		
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement To be more rigorous in communication Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021			adequate		
and suggestions for improvement communication Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021			□ poor		
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	Overall assessment			To be more rigorous in	
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: November 14th, 2021	==			communication	
	improvement				
Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: September 10 th , 2021	Date of Quality Assura	ance performed by QAMB revi	ewers: Noven	nber 14 th , 2021	
	Deadline for submission	on of amended version of the	deliverables: \$	September 10 th , 2021	

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form.





UNI

Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable: Activities 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.7, 7.2, 7.3

QAMB reviewer(s): Aleksandar Nastić

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
1.Complience with the objective of TeComp	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	x yes no partially	/	/
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage	Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Xiyes □ no □ partially	/	/
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	yes no partially	/	/
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format	X yes □ no	/	/
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	⊠yes □ no	/	/
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	□excellent X adequate □ poor	/	/
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement				

Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: September 10th , 2021

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form.

A House



UBQuality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable: Activities: 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.7, 7.2, 7.3

QAMB reviewer(s): Nebojša Jasnić

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
1.Complience with the objective of TeComp	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	x yes no partially	/	/
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage	Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Xiyes □ no □ partially	/	/
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	✓yes □ no □ partially	/	/
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format	¥ yes □ no	/	/
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	Xiyes □ no	/	/
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	□excellent X adequate □ poor	/	/
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement				
	on of amended version of the			.1

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form







UNS Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable: Activities:3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.7, 7.2, 7.3 QAMB reviewer(s): Zorana Lužanin

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
1.Complience with the objective of TeComp	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	x yes no partially	/	/
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage	Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Xiyes □ no □ partially	/	/
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	☑yes □ no □ partially	/	/
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format	X yes □ no	/	/
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	Xiyes □ no	The deliverables are specify for TeComp project with no sourced indicated.	/
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	□excellent X adequate □ poor	/	/
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement				
Date of Quality Assura	nnce performed by QAMB revio	ewers: Novembe	er 14 th , 2021	ı
Deadline for submission	on of amended version of the	deliverables: Sep	tember 10 th , 2021	

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form.

Thymatun



UNIKG

Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable: Activities 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.7, 7.2, 7.3 QAMB reviewer(s): Slađana Dimitrijević

Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	x yes □ no □ partially	/	/
Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	☐ no ☐ partially	/	/
Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	✓yes □ no □ partially	/	/
Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format	▼ yes	/	/
Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	⊠ yes □ no	/	/
Level of written English	• excellent □ adequate □ poor	/	/
		/	
	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project? Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description? Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form? Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project? Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description? Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form? Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys) Level of written English X yes

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form.

Cretaria charin jujelenti

ECUG

Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable: : Activities 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.7, 7.2, 7.3 QAMB reviewer(s): Romeo Mano

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
1.Complience with the objective of TeComp	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	x yes no partially	/	/
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage	Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	☐ yes ☐ no ☐ partially	/	/
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	⊠ yes □ no □ partially	/	/
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format	X yes □ no	/	/
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	X iyes □ no	/	/
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	□excellent • adequate □ poor	/	/
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement			/	/

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form.

Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: September 10^{th} , 2021

UNIKO

Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable: : Activities 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.7, 7.2, 7.3

QAMB reviewer(s): Ardian Cerava

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
1.Complience with the objective of TeComp	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	x yes no partially	/	/
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage	Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Xyes no partially	/	/
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	☑yes □ no □ partially	/	/
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format	X yes □ no	/	/
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	Xiyes □ no	/	/
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	□excellent □ adequate X poor	/	/
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement				

Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: September 10^{th} , 2021

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form.





SUMMARY OF THE DELIVERABLES OF THE ACTIVITY 3.1

Title	The Workshop on the sustainability of the project			
	☐ Teaching material	⊠ Event		
Туре	☐ Learning material	☐ Report		
	☐ Training material	☐ Service/Product		
Achieved goals	A workshop on the sustainability of project results was organised in Niš. The project achievements were disseminated during the workshop to rise the general awareness about the necessity of improving the ways of teaching and learning in in all areas of higher education.			
Conclusion	Aims are completely achieved.			
Sustainability	Rulebook on CPD of teaching staff is presented and the draft version of the Memorandum of cooperation is adopted.			

SUMMARY OF THE DELIVERABLES OF THE ACTIVITY 3.2

Title	Teaching staff trained in new PPM principles			
	☐ Teaching material			
Туре	☐ Learning material	⊠ Report		
	☐ Training material	□Service/Product		
Achieved	During this period, a three series of training courses in usage of modern PPM methods in			
goals	T&L were organised for teachers from Serbia and Albania.			
Conclusion	Aims are completely achieved.			
Sustainability	The teachers who participated the trainings would be the driving force in fostering futher			
Sustainability	modernisation of T&L methods and tools.			

SUMMARY OF THE DELIVERABLES OF THE ACTIVITY 3.3

Title	Teaching staff trained in new IT principles			
	☐ Teaching material			
Туре	☐ Learning material	⊠ Report		
	☐ Training material	☐Service/Product		
Achieved	During this period, a three series of training courses in usage of modern IT technologies in			
goals	T&L were organised for teachers from Serbia and Albania.			
Conclusion	Aims are partially achieved.			
Sustainability	The teachers who participated the trainings would be the driving force in fostering further			
Justamability	modernisation of T&L methods and tools.			



SUMMARY OF THE DELIVERABLES OF THE ACTIVITY 3.7

Title	Developed courses for students and teachers		
Туре	☐ Teaching material☐ Learning material☐ Training material	□ Event☑ Report☑ Service/Product	
Achieved goals	All planned courses are accredited and approved, and TAWE is accredited in the Centre for CPD of teaching staff. Three other training courses are in preparation phase for accreditation. The procedure of formation of the Center for life-long learning at UNIKG has started.		
Conclusion	Aims are completely achieved.		
Sustainability	Increasing the capability of teachers to teach well in English.		

SUMMARY OF THE TASK 7.2 and 7.3

Title	Meetings of LCT are organized			
	☐ Teaching material	⊠ Event		
Туре	☐ Learning material	☐ Report		
	☐ Training material	☐Service/Product		
Achieved	Two LCT maskings are agreed during this paried			
goals	Two LCT meetings are organsed during this period.			
Conclusion	Aims are completely achieved.			
Sustainability	Conclusions of the meetings will contribute to quality of the project implementation			
Justamability	very much.			





University of Niš The TeComp Consortium

www.tecomp.ni.ac.rs
e-mail:
tecomp@ni.ac.rs

tecomp.p2018@gmail.com

 ${\bf Copyright} \\ {\bf @TeComp~Consortium}$

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



This project has been co-funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein