

Internal Quality Assurance report

Period from

November 14th, 2018-May 14th, 2019

Project acronym: TeComp

Project full title: Strengthening Teaching Competences in Higher Education

in Natural and Mathematical Sciences

Project No: 598434-EPP-1-2018-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

Number of grant

contracts

2018-2467/001-001

Web address of project www.tecomp.ni.ac.rs

Funding Scheme: Erasmus+

Coordinator Institution: University of Nis

Coordinator: Prof. dr Jelena Ignjatović **Project duration:** 15.11.2018. – 14.11.2022

Work package: WP5 – Quality assurance and monitoring

Lead organization of University of Korce

WP5: Activity 5.3 Internal QA reports

Version of the document: second Status: Final

Dissemination level: Institutional, Internal



Report - UNI

During this time, the University of Nis played the most crucial role. Managerial activities have begun since the moment of project acceptance, meaning even before the eligibility period started.

The first activity was the Kickoff meeting held at UNI. All partner institution members were present and all preliminary agreements and plans for upcoming activities were successfully prepared. The main coordinator, Jelena Ignjatovic, participated in the Grant holder meeting in Brussels, when all activities have formally started. Partnership agreements following the individual requests of each partner (meaning each version has discreprencies), were prepared and signed.

Teachers from Nis have also participated in visits for exchanging experiences in teaching and learning to Ostrava, Banska Bystrica and Oviedo. The renewed plan for equipment purchases was realized by all partner institutions. The tender procedure was initialized by the University of Nis for Serbian and University of Gjirokaster for Albanian partner institutions.

The questionnaire for students and teachers for partner institutions in the field of natural and mathematical sciences has been made and the evaluation has begun.





Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable: Activities 1.1, 1.2, 5.1, 7.1, 7.2 responsible for the deliverable: QAMB reviewer(s): Zorana Jančić

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessme	Comments	Recommendations	
		nt			
1.Complience with	Does the deliverable	x yes	The deliverables are	More active participation	
the objective of	comply with the overall	□ no	completely comparable	of the partners.	
TeComp	objectives of the project?	□ partially	with the overall	or the partiters.	
recomp	objectives of the project:		objectives for all WP		
			objectives for all vvi		
2. Compliance with	Does the deliverables	xyes	1	/	
the specific	comply with the WP	□ no			
objectives of the	Objectives as specified in	□ partially			
workpackage	the WP description?				
3. Correspondence	Does the deliverable	Xyes	/	/	
with the description	correspond with the	, □ no	-	-	
of work of the	activity description as	□ partially			
relevant activity	specified in the	' '			
-	Application Form?				
			,	,	
4. Compliance with	Is the deliverable	X yes	/	/	
the deliverables	presented using the	□ no			
format	Project's deliverable				
	format				
5. Adequacy of	Examples of	Xyes	1	1	
complementary	complementary info:				
information	External sources used	□ no			
	Bibliography				
	List of contacts				
	Methodology used (i.e. for				
	surveys)				
C Ademies of	Lovel of weither English	□excellent	,	,	
6. Adequacy of	Level of written English		/	/	
written language		X			
		adequate			
		□ poor			
Overall assessment			To be more rigorous in		
and suggestions for			communication		
improvement					
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: July 12 th , 2019					
Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: June 30 th , 2019					

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form.





Quality Assurance Check List for Review of Deliverable: Activities 1.1, 1.2, 5.1, 7.1, 7.2

Author(s) responsible for the deliverable:

QAMB reviewer(s): Aleksandar Nastić

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
1.Complience with the objective of TeComp	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	x yes no partially	/	/
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage	Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Xiyes □ no □ partially	/	/
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	⊠yes □ no □ partially	/	/
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format	X yes □ no	/	/
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	Xiyes □ no	The deliverables are specify for TeComp project with no sourced indicated.	/
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	□excellent X adequate □ poor	/	/
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement				
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: July 12 th , 2019				
Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: June 30th , 2019				

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form.

A Docern



Report - UB

The University of Belgrade participated in the Kickoff meeting, participated in the preparation of the individual partnership agreement (bi-lingual) and finished their duties planned for this time period.



QAMB reviewer(s): Nebojša Jasnić

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
1.Complience with the objective of TeComp	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	x yes □ no □ partially	/	/
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage	Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Xyes □ no □ partially	/	/
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	⊠yes □ no □ partially	/	/
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format	¥ yes □ no	/	/
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	Xiyes □ no	/	/
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	□excellent X adequate □ poor	/	/
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement				
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: July 12 th , 2019				
Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: June 30 th , 2019				

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form

Jacus Hesgina



Report - UNS

The University of Novi Sad participated in the Kickoff meeting, participated in the preparation of the individual partnership agreement (bi-lingual) and finished their duties planned for this time period.



QAMB reviewer(s): Zorana Lužanin

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
1.Complience with the objective of TeComp	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	x yes □ no □ partially	/	/
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage	Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Xiyes □ no □ partially	/	/
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	⊠yes □ no □ partially	/	/
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format	X yes □ no	/	/
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	⊠yes □ no	The deliverables are specify for TeComp project with no sourced indicated.	/
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	□ excellent X adequate □ poor	/	/
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement				
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: July 12 th , 2019				
Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: June 30 th , 2019				

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form.

Symatus



Report - UNIKG

The University of Kragujevac participated in the Kickoff meeting, participated in the preparation of the individual partnership agreement (bi-lingual) and finished their duties planned for this time period.





QAMB reviewer(s): Slađana Dimitrijević

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
1.Complience with the objective of TeComp	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	x yes one no partially	/	/
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage	Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Xiyes no partially	/	/
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	☑yes □ no □ partially	/	/
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format	▼ yes	/	/
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	Xyes □ no	/	/
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	• excellent □ adequate □ poor	/	/
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement			This version should not be approved as a final one.	
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: July 12 th , 2019				
Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: June 30 th , 2019				

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form.

Chatata Summynijelent

Report - ECUG

The University of Gjirokaster participated in the Kickoff meeting, participated in the preparation of the individual partnership agreement (bi-lingual) and finished their duties planned for this time period.

Their teachers have travelled to Oviedo, Ostrava and Banska Bystrica, where they have met with the way of working of the EU partners. They have intitialized the documentation for the tender procedure for Albanian partner institutions and began realising the questionnaires for teachers and students.

The University of Gjirokaster has been the leader of work package 1.



QAMB reviewer(s): Romeo Mano

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
1.Complience with the objective of TeComp	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	x yes no partially	/	/
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage	Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Xiyes □ no □ partially	/	/
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	☑yes □ no □ partially	/	/
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format	X yes □ no	/	/
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	Xiyes □ no	/	/
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	□excellent • adequate □ poor	/	/
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement			/	/
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: July 12 th , 2019				
Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: June 30 th , 2019				

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form.





Report - UNIKO

The University of Korce participated in the Kickoff meeting, participated in the preparation of the individual partnership agreement (bi-lingual) and finished their duties planned for this time period.



QAMB reviewer(s): Ardian Cerava

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
1.Complience with the objective of TeComp	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	x yes no partially	/	/
2. Compliance with the specific objectives of the workpackage	Does the deliverables comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	Xiyes □ no □ partially	/	/
3. Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	☑yes □ no □ partially	/	/
4. Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format	¥ yes □ no	/	/
5. Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: External sources used Bibliography List of contacts Methodology used (i.e. for surveys)	Xiyes □ no	/	/
6. Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	□ excellent ☑ adequate □ poor	/	/
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement				
Date of Quality Assurance performed by QAMB reviewers: July 12 th , 2019				
Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverables: June 30 th , 2019				

Each member of QAMB need to sign the form.