

LCT MEETING BELGRADE EVALUATION BY THE PARTICIPANTS

Summary of the Participant Feedback Form (to be filled by host institution)

Event date:	11th-13th July, 2019
Event venue:	Belgrade
Host institution:	University of Belgrade
Name and surname of the evaluator:	Jelena Ignjatovic

Results to be filled by organisers based on the evaluation results.

Please note:

Total number of participants = 9; 7 of them were most satisfied and 2 of them satisfied – on average, 77.78% filled in the column very satisfied for most questions, while 22.22% were satisfied).

	Most satisfied	Satisfied	Moderately satisfied	Rather dissatisfied	Not satisfied, at all
The event administration:	100%	0	0	0	0
The structure of the programme:	78%	11%	11%	0	0
The venue and facilities:	67%	22%	11%	0	0
The presentations:	89%	11%	0	0	0
The discussions:	89%	11%	0	0	0

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
The information I got was of immediate help to me.	67%	11%	11%	0	0
This event covered to a very high extent the topics I have expected.	67%	22%	11%	0	0
I enjoyed the cooperation and interaction with the other participants.	78%	22%	0	0	0

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE EVENT

The overall percentage of participants with prior experience of similar events:

Prior Experience of Similar Events – Overall %	89% - YES; 11% - NO
--	---------------------

THE RECEIVED COMMENTS OF THE PARTICIPANTS

Strengths of the event and contributions or activities enjoyed by participants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting other colleagues and experience exchange in knowledge, visits at some laboratories. • Visits to the laboratory as well as botanic garden. • All contributions were interesting and useful. • I also try to support the discussion with personal talks and expressing opinions. • Visit to the botanic garden. • I liked botanic garden very much. • Botanic garden. • Botanic garden is fantastic.
Suggestions for the improvement:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The meeting was absolutely acceptable. There were no so importance mistakes and lacks. Only contributors did not take into account time limitation for presentation. • Better adherence on time schedule. • More space for discussion activities. • Everything was as I expected, very convenient for me. • Presentations should be limited, the authors should be warned to be strictly in adopted time. • May be the schedule was too heavy and more social evenings could have been organized. • Stick to the Agenda/shorter presentations.
Any further comments:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All the people were very friendly. I really enjoyed their company. • No other comments. I thank you for the good time and excellent experience exchanged. • I was enjoying the meeting. Thank you! • We expected better organization of activities. • I hope to have the other activities of this quality. •